# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN GREEN BAY DIVISION

\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF A	AMERICA,	)	
	Plaintiff,	)	Case No. CR 18-223
		)	Green Bay, Wisconsin
VS.		)	
		)	July 19, 2019
PETER BERNEGGER,		)	11:01 a.m.
		)	
	Defendant.	)	

------

#### TRANSCRIPT OF REVIEW HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM C. GRIESBACH UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE

#### APPEARANCES:

For the Government: United States Department of

Justice (WI-ED)

Office of the US Attorney

By: WILLIAM J. ROACH 205 Doty St - Ste 301

Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301-4541

Ph: 920-884-1066 Fax: 920-884-2997

william.j.roach@usdoj.gov

For the Defendant: PETER M. BERNEGGER

3415 Park Dr

Sturgeon Bay, WI 54235

Ph: 920-810-8339

PRO SE

U.S. Probation Office: KEVIN NORMAN

U.S. Official Transcriber: JOHN T. SCHINDHELM, RMR, CRR,

Transcript Orders: www.johnschindhelm.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic recording, transcript produced by computer aided transcription.



# TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

# Transcribed From Audio Recording

\* \* \*

THE CLERK: The Court calls Case No. 18-CR-223, United States of America vs. Peter M. Bernegger for review hearing.

May I have the appearances, please?

MR. ROACH: Good morning. William Roach on behalf of the Government.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. BERNEGGER: Peter Bernegger, the Defendant.

THE COURT: Good morning.

PROBATION OFFICER: Good morning, Your Honor. Kevin Norman on behalf of the U.S. Probation.

THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, all.

So we put this on the calendar last week to give Mr. Bernegger an opportunity to I guess address the requirement that he comply with conditions of supervision by completing the FLU, or the Financial Litigation Unit form that Probation had directed him to complete.

Mr. Bernegger said he had cited a case that said there was no obligation to do so. The cases I've looked at do not say anything about the FLU forms. And, in fact, Mr. Bernegger's judgment of conviction lists as a condition that he provide the probation officer with access to any requested financial information. This is no. 1 of the special conditions on the

original judgment of conviction.

And then the -- more recently the --

MR. ROACH: I have a copy of the order.

THE COURT: -- order entered by the court directs

Mr. Bernegger to -- I found him -- in fact, it found violations

for his failure to make restitution payments. This was the

order of a court in Mississippi.

I copied that, Mr. Roach, but apparently I may not have brought it out here. Do you have --

MR. ROACH: I have an extra copy. Mr. Bernegger's been provided a copy of that as well.

THE COURT: And Mr. Bernegger would have received a copy. He's the party, he was in Mississippi.

So, yeah, his failure to pay restitution since the beginning of his term. This is document no. 454 in his case in 07-CR-176 for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi. So ....

MR. ROACH: Page 2 of that order details the additional orders the court imposed at that supervised release hearing, notably, condition no. 3: "Defendant shall submit to a debtor's examination conducted by the FLU unit." And no. 5: "Defendant shall provide U.S. Probation --"

Again, this is restating what was in the amended judgment: Provide U.S. Probation full disclosure of his financial status. Fully cooperate regarding his financials.

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

THE COURT: Yeah, this order was entered on September 26, 2016.

So I don't see any difficulty. Frankly, it was unnecessary for me to even add a condition. He's already required to comply with reasonable conditions, directions of his probation officer. He's required to disclose his financial information.

The FLU unit is making no effort to collect at this time. Mr. Bernegger is correct that the case he cited stands for the proposition that where he's under supervision and the court has a condition requiring that he pay restitution or that he make payments toward restitution, the Financial Litigation Unit of the U.S. Attorney is not involved at that stage as I understand it.

And, in fact, Mr. Roach, you're not -- you're not -the Financial Litigation Unit of your office isn't planning on getting involved until his supervision is terminated; is that right?

MR. ROACH: I guess "involved" is the operative phrase there. But you're right, the court -- my review of the caselaw is that if there's an order in place like there is here, the defendant pay \$100 in restitution and FLU gets involved and starts asking -- garnisheeing wages and obtaining other judgments that exceed what his terms of supervised release are, that gets into a problem.

But here the FLU unit is merely going to be collecting, reviewing financial documents in anticipation of the civil remedies that will come into play when the term of supervised release ends.

THE COURT: And supervised release is scheduled to end in September. Correct?

MR. ROACH: Yes.

THE COURT: And he still owes over \$1.7 million in restitution.

MR. ROACH: Yes.

THE COURT: But he's compliant so far. At least he's compliant with this court's order that he pay a minimum of a hundred dollars a month. He's not compliant with getting a job, although it does appear he was seeking a job.

And the issue of medical problems that prevent him from full-time employment is still up in the air. He's submitted an affidavit of his own, but that's all hearsay. I expect doctor records to document a medical condition that would prevent him from obtaining employment.

Nevertheless, I think realistically no one in this courtroom really believes Mr. Bernegger will suddenly come up with a job that will allow him to pay much if any of restitution. That's not his history. He -- whether it's due to a disability or a medical problem or a psychiatric problem or just inability to find jobs, it's -- when someone really doesn't

want to work it's very difficult to force them to work. And if he's paying a hundred dollars a month about, from whatever source, he's complying with the minimal payment requirements of the court.

So, Mr. Bernegger, number one, I'm denying your motion to strike the Government's brief. I didn't set a briefing schedule here. The Government could have walked in today and just made this argument in person and it would have been fine.

I put this hearing on to give you an opportunity to address or to make your argument or -- because you said you didn't have time last time, you had no notice that they were seeking to impose a requirement that you complete the Financial Litigation Unit's form. We gave you additional time. But now, as I look at the judgment, as I look at the previous orders of the Northern District of Mississippi, the requirement that you fill that form is well within those conditions.

So you're to complete that form. But what do you want to say? I'm not striking the brief. That motion's denied.

What else do you want to tell me?

MR. BERNEGGER: Your Honor, I have complied with the condition of providing my probation officer with any requested information.

THE COURT: Not any requested information. He's asked you to complete the Financial Litigation Unit form. That's additional information. You're to do that. If you don't do

that, it will be considered a violation. Go on.

MR. BERNEGGER: Your Honor, that -- I didn't finish my argument. The request did not come from my probation officer.

And the request was not to send the information to the probation officer, but to FLU. So FLU requested the information, not my probation officer.

THE COURT: Mr. Norman, did you ask him to fill out the FLU forms?

PROBATION OFFICER: I did. On June 3rd I sent it -- I sent the information to him and I said to him that he can send it directly to the FLU unit. He then responded and said he didn't have a condition. And then on June 24th is when I said if you feel better about sending it to me you can send the information to me.

THE COURT: What else, Mr. Bernegger?

MR. BERNEGGER: Your Honor, the written order that the Court and the Government just cited, document 454, is null and void because the oral order of document 458 on page 32 takes control. If there's any dispute between the two, the oral order takes control. So doc 454 is moot.

And I'll point also, even with doc 454, the written order, it says for me -- it said for me to comply with a debtor's examination. One, I did it. I did comply with that. It doesn't say it's going on and on and on and you're going to do it every year or -- all it said is "a debtor's examination."

And I submitted to it.

So even with the written order, I complied with it.

And the request from FLU did come -- for the FLU financial documents, did come from FLU. A paralegal named Kim out of the Milwaukee office, the request came from her. The request was to send information to her, it was not for my probation officer to provide to the court. I've done that.

I filled out a financial -- affidavits earlier this year somewhere, I think April, and my probation officer has that. He requested again in June with a deadline of July 1st, and I did that.

I filled out a third financial affidavit to do the Seventh Circuit appeal, and that was I think the last week of June. That's the third one. I did a fourth financial information filled out to the court for getting the May 24th hearing transcript fee waived. Plus, I've done the monthly financials online on time.

So the number of financials I've submitted to the court, it exceeds 10 in one year. And this is July's. It's only half the year.

THE COURT: Mr. Roach, why does your Financial Litigation Unit need a special form? What's wrong with what he's already completed?

MR. ROACH: I believe the FLU documents --

THE COURT: You do not have access to that?

MR. ROACH: I believe the FLU documents are more

comprehensive and allow -- beyond just the defendant's assets,

it encompasses potential assets that he's using, that he may

have an interest in.

So it's a more comprehensive document. And the defendant submits it once. It isn't something -- he hasn't submitted to a debtor's exam since 2016. This is something he submits to once and FLU isn't going to be asking for it month after month after month. It's a -- from the government's perspective it's a reasonable condition of his supervised release.

MR. BERNEGGER: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BERNEGGER: Your Honor, it's not the time for FLU. They're a civil debt collector unit of the Government, of the Department of Justice. The Department of Justice policy on their public web page states they are supposed to follow the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. There is no -- which is a strict liability law. There is no debt due. Today there's nothing due. There's a hundred dollars due next week some day, whatever date it is, the 24th, but there's no debt due.

So they don't have any authority to come and ask for anything under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. If they want me to fill out a financial form on September 25th, or it might be the 24th -- I'll say the 25th, I'll agree to do it. My

whole argument is, they don't have any authority now and they're trying to use the probation officer and/or the Court as a straw man or agent or a proxy, and there's no legal authority --

THE COURT: You'll do it on the 24th, but you won't do it today.

MR. BERNEGGER: There's no legal authority to do it per the caselaw I've cited. It's in document 78 and 79. And I filed that on June 14th. June 17th, per the docket. And that's where the case laws are cited. I think there's 14 case laws I cited.

MR. ROACH: If I may respond, the only limitation with FLU is if they are collecting debts at this time that aren't due; again, if they are garnisheeing wages, if they're seizing assets that are beyond what the Court has ordered.

That's not happening in this case. This is the mere request to submit to a financial disclosure. FLU is part of all our plea agreements, Judge. And nationwide, as I understand, all of our plea agreements that go through you, and have been for decades, have a condition that the defendant will comply with FLU requirements.

Before Probation discharges an individual, it is routine, if not always the case, that FLU has been involved at least in negotiating or setting a payment plan. Not collecting, but setting a payment plan prior to discharge so Probation can advise this court whether discharge is satisfactory or whether

there are procedures in place for the collection of restitution. And make no mistake about it, in the next couple of months, absent winning the lottery, there will be a -- there is a debt owed now of \$1.7 million --

MR. BERNEGGER: Objection, no, there's not.

THE COURT: Yeah, there's a \$1.7 million. I've set a payment schedule. That isn't a substitute for your debt. Now stop it. There's a debt of \$1.7 million you owe.

MR. BERNEGGER: Not today, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You owe it now.

MR. BERNEGGER: The statute --

THE COURT: If you have the money to pay it you'd pay it now. I allow you to -- as a condition of your supervision

I've ordered you to pay at least a hundred dollars a month.

Now, let's not quibble.

MR. BERNEGGER: Your Honor, I object. Statute 18 3572 specifically states if I can't pay the full amount now, the 1.7 million and what the change numbers are, the statute states it's not due, it's -- instead it triggers 18 U.S.C. § 3664(f)(2) to set a monthly payment amount. That's my point.

THE COURT: You owe \$1.7 million, Mr. Bernegger.

That's the key fact here. You were ordered to provide your probation officer access with any requested financial information. You're to follow the reasonable directions of your probation officer.

I am going -- your probation officer is within his authority in directing you to complete the FLU forms. You are to complete the FLU forms. If you fail to complete them in the next week and your probation officer reports that, I will consider that a violation and you'll take the consequences.

If you have as little as you claim, if you have no assets, it should be a simple matter to write "no" or to leave blank and to sign the form. This is not onerous for you to complete. And it assists your rehabilitation by providing a vehicle for you ultimately to help pay your restitution. It's a reasonable request. It's a more thorough form than the financial affidavits that you filled out so far. I don't believe it's intended for purpose of harassment. It's intended for purposes of assisting your probation officer and knowing what you have, what assets you have, but also in transferring responsibility for collection of the restitution to the U.S. Attorney's Office.

So they are not -- as long as you're under the payment plan there'll be no efforts to collect. But the information is financial information that you were required to disclose as a condition of your supervision, and I'm directing that you comply with that. Your agent has directed you to do that, now the court is directing you to do that.

And even though the collection is not going to begin until after you complete your supervision, that is expected to

go -- happen shortly, within a couple of months.

Any questions?

MR. BERNEGGER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. ROACH: Not from the Government.

THE COURT: There are many motions that Mr. Bernegger has filed here.

Motion for a medical expert. We're not going to incur the expense of having a doctor appointed by the Court to examine Mr. Bernegger. If Mr. Bernegger has medical conditions that prevent him from working, then he has doctors that have told him that. He's referred to doctors in his affidavit, not by name, but just generally repeating what he says doctors have told him. He can obtain those reports and submit those if his claim that his inability to work is due to medical reasons.

His motion to quash. And there are many motions to quash here.

Motions for order. Motions to strike. Motions to -order directing probation officers to answer questions, to
appear in front of him, to --

Let me go through these just so we have a cleaned-up docket here.

So his motion to quash the imposition of a new supervised release condition is denied. It's not a new condition. It's a specification of the general request. So

1 that's 78. 2 74 is denied. That's the motion for medical expert. 3 82, a motion to request, request for financial statements is denied. 4 5 Motion for order directing Kevin Norman to answer 6 Defendant's questions is denied. Mr. Norman is not here on 7 trial. Mr. Norman does not owe \$1.7 million in restitution. 8 Motion to strike and motion to modify special 9 conditions, 88, is denied. 10 94, a motion to stay modifications is denied. 11 100, a motion to seal is --12 What did you want to seal, Mr. Bernegger? 13 MR. BERNEGGER: My medical affidavit. 14 THE COURT: That'll be granted. 15 Motion for order for waiver of fee for July 12th 16 hearing transcript. I'll grant that motion. He may have a 17 transcript of the July 12th hearing. 18 And are you requesting a transcript of today's hearing 19 as well, Mr. Bernegger? 20 MR. BERNEGGER: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I'll direct that that be granted as well. 22 Anything else? 23 PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, could I just clear up 24 the medical situation? 25 THE COURT: Yes.

PROBATION OFFICER: So, Mr. Bernegger does provide me with documents. And it's very clear that he's gone to the doctor, has back pain and some back issues. What I'm saying is that there's nothing in the documents that a doctor says

Mr. Bernegger can't lift 20 pounds, can't stand for eight hours, can't do this.

So while I'm not doubting he has back pain and goes to the doctor for the back pain, there's just no -- there's no work restrictions in the information he gives me. And it's not -- and part of that is probably he's not asking them to put in if he has work restrictions. So that's kind of where we're at with that.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I -- you know, I note last hearing your report indicated he was showing that he was seeking employment --

PROBATION OFFICER: Correct.

THE COURT: -- but had not received a job. So as I understand it he's not claiming he's unable to work, he's claiming "I can't find work I can do." If that's the case --

And Mr. Bernegger, in all honesty, you know, we're not in a position to follow you around or investigate with whoever you apply with for jobs. And if you're paying a hundred dollars a month as I directed, you're probably going to complete your supervision, whether successful or unsuccessful, and go on. That's where we are.

I made clear last time that despite the confusion in the notice to appear, Probation was looking to put some condition -- require some payment from you, not to revoke. And, as I said, if you read the full thing, you would see that they're asking for a modification of condition to order you to make some sort of payment. That's what I did. And that's what you're under and you're complying with it. Now I'm ordering you -- I'm clarifying and ordering

you to comply with the directions that Mr. Norman has given you that's consistent with the conditions you're under to complete the Financial Litigation Unit's disclosure form. And that's where we are.

All right. Anything else?

MR. ROACH: No, thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. This matter is concluded.

(Hearing concluded at 11:25 a.m.)

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### CERTIFICATE

I, JOHN T. SCHINDHELM, RMR, CRR, Official Court
Reporter and Transcriptionist for the United States District
Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, do hereby certify
that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription
of the audio file provided in the aforementioned matter to the
best of my skill and ability.

Signed and Certified July 26, 2019.

/s/John T. Schindhelm

John T. Schindhelm

John T. Schindhelm, RPR, RMR, CRR United States Official Reporter 517 E Wisconsin Ave., Rm 236, Milwaukee, WI 53202 Website: WWW.JOHNSCHINDHELM.COM

